

REMARKS

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance in view of the above amendment and the following remarks.

Applicants gratefully acknowledge the allowance of claims 1-3.

In response to the rejection of claims 4-5 under 35 USC 101, that the media could read on "transitory signals", applicant traverses the rejection because "storage media" cannot be "transitory signals". However, to expedite prosecution, applicant the claims have been amended to recite "Non-transient computer readable storage medium" as suggested by the examiner.

"Non-transient computer readable storage medium" comprises all computer-readable media, with the sole exception being a transitory, propagating signal. More specifically, "Non-transient computer readable storage medium" include media that store data only for short periods of time and/or only in the presence of power, such as register memory, processor cache and Random Access Memory (RAM).

In response to the rejection of claims 6-7 under 35 USC 101, that the claims merely claim nonfunctional descriptive material, applicant traverses the rejection because the claims recite structures and other information that control the operation of a processor.

More specifically, claims 6-7, recite that content stored on the media are produced by the method of claim 1. The content produced by the method of claim 1 include much more than nonfunctional descriptive material. The content includes structures and other information required for controlling a processor for playing the programs including an organization of contiguous blocks, interleaved blocks, enhanced interleaved blocks, original program units, enhancement program units, pointers to enhancement

program units, separation blocks. Also, both claims 6 and 7 recite "readable by processing equipment including a processor controlled by the content".

In response to the rejection of claim 8 under 35 USC 101, that the claim merely claims nonfunctional descriptive material, applicant traverses the rejection because the claim recites structures and other information that controls the operation of a processor.

More specifically, in claim 8, there is much more than non-functional descriptive material. There is a structure required for controlling a processor for playing the programs including an organization of contiguous blocks, interleaved blocks, enhanced interleaved blocks, original program units, enhancement program units, pointers to enhancement program units, separation blocks. Also, the content controls the operation of a processor as follows: "the storage media being readable by processing equipment including a processor controlled by the content stored on the storage media." as recited in claim 8.

In addition, Applicant denies any statement, position or averment of the Examiner that is not specifically addressed by the foregoing argument and response. Any rejections and/or points of argument not addressed would appear to be moot in view of the presented remarks. However, the Applicant reserves the right to submit further arguments in support of the above stated position, should that become necessary. No arguments are waived and none of the Examiner's statements are conceded.

In view of the above, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is in condition for allowance, and a Notice of Allowance is earnestly solicited.

Please charge any fee deficiencies and credit any overpayments
to Deposit Account No. 14-1270.

Respectfully submitted,

By /Michael E. Belk/
Michael E. Belk, Reg. 33,357
Senior Attorney
(914) 333-9643